Exhibit A

Public Redacted

NON-PARTY DARIO AMODEI'S [PROPOSED] SUR-REPLY

Case No. 3:23-cv-03223-AMO; 3:25-MC-80017-AMO

Case 3:25-mc-80017-AMO Document 25-1 Filed 03/04/25 Page 2 of 4

1.

Before the Court are two motions to compel the deposition of Mr. Dario Amodei, the CEO of non-party Anthropic PBC. As noted in Mr. Amodei's opposition, the Plaintiffs have several sources of information available to them that are superior and far less burdensome than forcing Mr. Amodei to sit for deposition.

This evening, Plaintiffs concluded their deposition of Benjamin Mann, which covered the same topics for which Plaintiffs have asked the Court to compel a deposition of Mr. Amodei. ¹

(Mann Tr., Ex. 1 at 60:9-18, 61:22-62:17, 97:14-

100:21.) This is in stark contrast to Plaintiffs' (inaccurate and irresponsible) speculation

2. (Mann Tr., Ex. 1 at 185:20-186:5.)

(Mann Tr., Ex. 1 at

367:14-18) and Plaintiffs had no factual basis to suggest Mr. Mann had any personal knowledge of the facts after he left OpenAI. The same is true for Mr. Amodei, who also left OpenAI in late 2020.

4. (Mann Tr., Ex. 1 at 35:8-36:7.)

Nothing in Mr. Mann's testimony suggested that Mr. Amodei was downloading or processing the datasets at issue or that Mr. Amodei's recollection would be superior in that regard.

¹ Excerpts from Mr. Mann's rough deposition transcript are attached as Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Assad H. Rajani in Support of Non-Party Dario Amodei's [Proposed] Sur-Reply In Further Opposition to Motion to Compel, which are filed concurrently.

Case 3:25-mc-80017-AMO Document 25-1 Filed 03/04/25 Page 4 of 4

In their Reply, Plaintiffs confirmed that they were "aggressively seeking discovery" and that "Plaintiffs intend to depose *all the former employees*" identified. (*In re Open AI* Reply at 6). This dragnet approach should not be condoned, especially when it comes to the CEO of a company who Plaintiffs failed to show has unique knowledge of the topics in dispute. In light of Mr. Mann's voluminous testimony, compelling a deposition of Mr. Amodei would have little value in this case, would impose maximum burden on Mr. Amodei, and would be unreasonably cumulative and duplicative of what Plaintiffs have already obtained in discovery. Nor would another burdensome deposition of an Apex officer (of a direct competitor to OpenAI) be proportional to the needs of the case.

In view of these subsequent factual developments, the Court should deny the Motions in their entirety.

Dated: March 3, 2025

By: <u>/s/ Assad H Rajani</u>

ASSAD H. RAJANI

ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP

Attorneys for Non-Party Dario Amodei